

Independence at Home Demonstration Performance Year 7 Results

Home-based primary care allows health care providers to spend more one-on-one time with their patients, perform assessments in a patient's home environment, and assume greater accountability for all aspects of patient care. This focus on timely and appropriate care is designed to improve the overall quality of care and quality of life for patients served, while lowering health care expenditures by avoiding costly hospital care and forestalling the need for care in institutional settings.

The Independence at Home (IAH) Demonstration is authorized by Section 1866E of the Social Security Act, as added by Section 3024 of the Affordable Care Act (P.L. 111-148), extended for two years by the Medicare Independence at Home Medical Practice Demonstration Improvement Act of 2015, further extended and amended by section 50301 of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 (BBA) for an additional two years, and further extended and amended by the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021, for an additional three years, which began on January 1, 2021. The IAH Demonstration tests a payment incentive and service delivery model for home-based primary care for Medicare fee-for-service (FFS) beneficiaries with multiple chronic illnesses. The Demonstration tests whether home-based primary care that is designed to provide comprehensive, coordinated, continuous, and accessible care to high-need patients and to coordinate health care across all treatment settings reduces preventable hospitalizations, readmissions, and emergency department visits; improves health outcomes commensurate with beneficiaries' stage of chronic illness; improves the efficiency of care; reduces the cost of health care services; and achieves beneficiary and family caregiver satisfaction.

Beneficiaries' care is monitored using several quality measures. Practices that reduce their applicable beneficiaries' Medicare expenditures sufficiently below their spending targets may share in a portion of the difference between the expenditures and the spending target, referred to as an incentive payment. A practice's incentive payment is adjusted based on its performance on the associated quality measures.

Summary of Results from Performance Year 7

In Performance Year 7 of the Demonstration (January 1, 2020 – December 31, 2020), the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) found that the expenditures for IAH practices' applicable beneficiaries were approximately 18.0 percent (equating to \$42.3 million) below their spending targets, an average reduction of \$6,585 per beneficiary. All 10 IAH participants (nine practices and one consortium) reduced the per-beneficiary-per-month (PBPM) expenditures relative to the practice's PBPM spending target.

For Performance Year 7, CMS will provide incentive payments to 10 participants (as shown in Table 1) that met the requirements for an incentive payment. A total of 6,436 beneficiaries were enrolled in the Demonstration at 10 participating practices (nine practices and one consortium consisting of three practices). All 10 IAH participants met three or more of the six quality measures; three of those practices met the performance thresholds for all six quality measures. Only practices with at least 200 applicable beneficiaries, positive savings percentages, whose spending targets exceed a minimum confidence threshold, and which meet or exceed the minimum performance threshold on at least three of the six quality measures are eligible for an incentive payment.

Table 1.
Performance Year 7 Results for Participating Practices

Independence at Home Practice Name	Year 7 Spending Target*	Year 7 Expenditures*	Practice Incentive Payment, no sequestration	Practice Incentive Payment, w/ sequestration^
Christiana Care Health System	\$3,430	\$3,216	\$36,047	\$35,687
Comprehensive Geriatric Medicine P.C. d/b/a Doctors on Call	\$4,228	\$3,381	\$2,606,198	\$2,580,136
Housecall Providers, PC	\$2,601	\$2,062	\$697,293	\$690,320
Northwell Health House Calls	\$4,914	\$3,049	\$6,610,848	\$6,544,739
RMED, LLC	\$4,035	\$3,577	\$546,214	\$540,751
Visiting Physicians Association of Texas, PLLC – Dallas	\$4,326	\$3,922	\$943,565	\$934,129
Visiting Physicians Association, P.C. – Flint/Saginaw/Marysville	\$3,548	\$2,909	\$2,984,429	\$2,954,584
Visiting Physicians Association, P.C. – Lansing/Ann Arbor	\$3,371	\$3,058	\$251,953	\$249,434
Visiting Physicians Association, P.C. – Milwaukee	\$2,810	\$2,475	\$359,469	\$355,874
Mid-Atlantic Consortium	\$3,863	\$2,620	\$3,641,595	\$3,605,179
Total	\$3,852	\$3,159	\$18,677,610	\$18,490,834

* The Year 7 Spending Target and Year 7 Expenditures are on a PBPM basis.

^ Beginning April 1, 2022 to June 30, 2022, The Protecting Medicare and American Farmers from Sequester Cuts Act (Pub. L. 117-71), set sequestration at 1 percent for Medicare expenditures.

Quality Measures

Under the IAH Demonstration, participating practices must meet the performance thresholds for at least three of the six quality measures in order to qualify for the incentive payment. The six measures are:

- Follow up contact within 48 hours of a hospital admission, hospital discharge, or emergency department visit;
- Medication reconciliation in the home within 48 hours of a hospital discharge or emergency department visit;
- Annual documentation of patient preferences;
- All-cause hospital readmissions within 30 days;
- Hospital admissions for ambulatory care sensitive conditions; and
- Emergency department visits for ambulatory care sensitive conditions.

Methodology Modifications

Prior to beginning the Demonstration, CMS developed a risk-based actuarial methodology (the “original actuarial methodology”) for calculating incentive payments. In response to questions raised by participating IAH practices in early performance years regarding the risk scores used in the Demonstration, CMS explored a different approach to the original actuarial method and developed a second methodology (the “regression-based methodology”), which was later revised (the “revised regression-based methodology”).

Starting with Performance Year 6, all calculations used a revised actuarial methodology, which generates practice-specific per beneficiary per month (PBPM) target expenditures based on historical Medicare fee-for-service (FFS) per capita expenditures for the Medicare FFS population in the same counties as IAH-applicable beneficiaries. The per capita expenditures are then adjusted to reflect the average CMS Hierarchical Condition Category (CMS-HCC) risk score, the average frailty score (used in the Program of All-inclusive Care for the Elderly [PACE]), and a utilization factor of the IAH-applicable population in each practice. The utilization factor reflects the level of risk that is not captured by the CMS-HCC model for beneficiaries with a hospitalization and post-acute care in the 12 months prior to their enrollment date in the performance year. Finally, the adjusted per capita expenditures are trended to the performance year by the increase in total per capita Medicare FFS expenditures, as estimated by CMS’ Office of the Actuary. Determination of any incentive payment is based on a comparison of costs incurred to the target expenditures and performance on payment-related quality measures.

More information on the Independence at Home Demonstration and these methodologies is available at: <https://innovation.cms.gov/innovation-models/independence-at-home>