



Comprehensive Primary Care (CPC) Initiative 2014 Shared Savings & Quality Results



Table 1. Shared Savings Quality Scoring and Eligibility

	AR	CO	NJ	NY	OH	OK	OR	All-Regions
Total Practices in 2014	63	73	67	74	75	64	67	483
No. of Practices Successful at eCQM Reporting	61 (97%)	70 (96%)	65 (97%)	74 (100%)	74 (99%)	59 (92%)	67 (100%)	470 (97%)
No. of Practices that met Quality Point Threshold (35 out of 70)*	60 (92%)	73 (100%)	67 (100%)	74 (100%)	71 (95%)	60 (94%)	66 (99%)	472 (98%)
No. of Practices Eligible for Shared Savings Based on Both Quality Requirements^{1*}	59 (94%)	70 (96%)	65 (97%)	74 (100%)	70 (93%)	56 (88%)	66 (99%)	460 (95%)

Table 2. 2014 Medicare Expenditures Targets and Actual Expenditures, Per Beneficiary Per Month (PBPM)**

	AR	CO	NJ	NY	OH	OK	OR	All-Regions
Beneficiary months	644,328	568,723	524,843	479,725	524,756	549,812	609,732	3,901,918
Expenditures target (PBPM)	\$760.17	\$737.45	\$897.02	\$793.36	\$823.72	\$822.75	\$745.32	\$794.39
Actual expenditures (PBPM)	\$748.19	\$715.40	\$899.12	\$818.97	\$816.79	\$783.87	\$738.05	\$785.08
Care Management Fees (PBPM)	\$19.98	\$19.86	\$19.65	\$20.01	\$20.08	\$19.53	\$20.02	\$19.88
Gross Savings (%)	1.6%	3.0%	-0.2%	-3.2%	0.8%	4.7%	1.0%	1.2%
Gross Savings (PBPM)	\$11.98	\$22.04	-\$2.10	-\$25.61	\$6.93	\$38.88	\$7.27	\$9.31
Net Savings (%)	-1.1%	0.3%	-2.4%	-5.7%	-1.6%	2.4%	-1.7%	-1.3%
Net Savings (PBPM)	-\$8.00	\$2.19	-\$21.75	-\$45.62	-\$13.15	\$19.35	-\$12.75	-\$10.57

¹ In 2014, quality requirements are based on a combination of successful reporting of EHR clinical quality measures (eCQMs), as well as scoring at least 35 out of a possible 70 points on claims-based hospital admission and readmission rates, and survey-based patient experience outcomes.

Table 3. Claims-Based Quality Measure Performance (Region-Level Scores)*

	AR	CO	NJ	NY	OH	OK	OR	All-Regions
All-Cause Hospital Readmissions ²	15.33%	12.80%	15.07%	15.66%	15.03%	14.22%	13.54%	14.52%
Heart Failure Admissions ³	0.91	0.59	0.84	0.94	1.00	0.82	0.75	0.84
COPD Admissions ⁴	1.03	0.45	0.64	0.76	0.93	1.00	0.56	0.77

Table 4. CAHPS Patient Experience Performance (Percent of Practices that Earned Quality Points by Exceeding a Performance Benchmark)

	AR	CO	NJ	NY	OH	OK	OR	All-Regions
Getting Timely Appointments, Care, and Information ⁵	90%	95%	97%	100%	99%	91%	96%	95%
How Well Providers Communicate with Patient ⁵	98%	98%	99%	100%	95%	94%	99%	98%
Patient Rating of Provider and Care ⁵	97%	97%	99%	97%	97%	91%	97%	96%
Attention to Care from Other Providers ⁵	95%	95%	96%	97%	96%	94%	100%	96%
Providers Support Patient in Taking Care of Own Health ⁵	92%	92%	99%	99%	95%	94%	100%	96%

* **Update as of July 2016:** Due to a discrepancy found in the calculations for the ambulatory care sensitive condition admissions (Heart Failure and COPD), Table 1 and Table 3 of this data summary have been updated to include the corrected performance ratios for both measures for all CPC regions.

** **Update as of October 2016:** A revision to the 2014 financial reconciliation was made due to an adjustment to the expenditure targets. Table 2 of this data summary has been modified to include updated expenditure targets, gross savings, and net savings.

For more information about CMS' benchmarking and calculations, please see the [CPC 2014 Medicare Shared Savings Methodology Paper](#)

² Performance is measured as the risk-standardized percentage of hospital discharges (as defined in the specifications) that resulted in a readmission. There are three performance benchmarks (lower percentage indicates better performance): 15.82%, 16.24%, 16.75%.

³ Performance is measured as the ratio of the observed to expected number of hospital admissions for heart failure in the region. There are three performance benchmarks (lower ratio indicates better performance): 0.47, 0.88, 1.33.

⁴ Performance is measured as the ratio of the observed to expected number of hospital admissions for COPD or asthma in the region. There are three performance benchmarks (lower ratio indicates better performance): 0.44, 0.84, 1.37.

⁵ We calculate performance rates for each of the patient experience measures for each practice using the Consumer Assessment of Health Care Providers and Systems (CAHPS) Analysis Program, version 4.1, developed by AHRQ.